



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,093	01/18/2002	Santosh C. Lodayekar	E003 - 1003US0	3414
80280 7590 12/15/2008 Law Offices of Barry N. Young 200 Page Mill Road Suite 102 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2061				
EXAMINER NGUYEN, HANH N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2416				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/15/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/051,093

**Applicant(s)**

LOLAYEKAR ET AL.

**Examiner**

Hanh Nguyen

**Art Unit**

2416

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hanh Nguyen.(3) Barry Young.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Buckman.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argues Buckman does not disclose classifying packets as data packets or storage packets. No agreement was reached because examiner interprets the storage control packet as management request or connection request (see the specification, page 28, paragraph [0113]). Buckman discloses the new TCP connection request in col. 5, lines 20-30.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hanh Nguyen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416